



*Erasmus+ KA2 Knowledge Alliances project
“Greening Energy Market and Finance – GrEnFIn”*

AGREEMENT NUMBER: 612408

PROJECT NUMBER: 612408 – EPP-1-2019-1-EPPKA2-KA

WP10 – D10.1. Final report concerning the internal evaluation of the results:

**Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to
evaluation, M15 – M17**





Work Package (WP)	WP10 - PROJECT EVALUATION
WP Leader	WU
Deliverable Title and Number	D10.1. Final report concerning the internal evaluation of the results: Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation M15 – M17
Release date	March 2021
Version	Version 1
Dissemination Level	Public
Authors	WU – Régis Gourdel
Revised and Approved by	Prof. Silvia Romagnoli

INDEX

Quarterly report concerning the internal evaluation of the results M15 –M17	3
1. Introduction	3
2. Summary of deliverables	3
Evaluation by deliverables and KPIs	3
Annual Internal Interim and Financial report (D1.3)	3
Reports on the validation’s activities M14 (D2.4)	4
Descriptive document of the facilities and services to implement in GrEnFin-Hub Vplatform (D6.2)	4
3. Conclusion	5



Quarterly report concerning the internal evaluation of the results M15 –M17

1. Introduction

In this report, we provide an evaluation for all deliverables received between M14 and M17. Deliverables are first listed in a recap table and then discussed individually, assessing deliverable-level and milestone-level KPIs as set up within WP10 according to the Project Management Framework. For each deliverable, we assess KPIs on a 0 to 10 scale. A summary of conclusions completes the report.

2. Summary of deliverables

WP	Lead organization (Pn)	Number	End date	Title	Level
1	P(1)	D1.3	M15	Annual Internal Interim and Financial report	Public
1	P(1)	D2.4	M15	Reports on the validation's activities M14	Public
6	P(9)	D6.2	M17	Descriptive document of the facilities and services to implement in GrEnFin-Hub Vplatform	Public

Evaluation by deliverables and KPIs

Annual Internal Interim and Financial report (D1.3)

The document analysed is the comprehensive annual report compiled by UNIBO by aggregating budget information and intermediary reports. It covers the first year of activity (M1-M12) of GrEnFin.

Relevant KPIs:

P1.3.1 Early quality report drafted

10: The report gives a good overview of the most important steps and events that occurred in the conduct of the project so far. Moreover, the feedback reported from partners' answers is very positive overall.

P1.3.2 Financial report drafted

6: The full financial report is not included, due to several organizational reasons that are duly explained in the document. However, the report contains a detailed qualitative assessment of the financial status of the project with regard to the work done in the first year. Lastly, this section is at times unclear for language reasons.

P1.3.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved

8: A number of challenges that appeared are reported on, and various issues or unclear points that were raised by partners are included. However, one could note the following two limitations, which can be attributed to the way the project is currently structured:



1. Part of the reporting focuses on the general achievements of the project, and perception by partners. Meanwhile the reporting of issues is done at a more granular level, focusing on potential improvements of each WP. What is perhaps missing is a space for a more critical discussion of the project's evolution as a whole, or potentially more general inadequacies in terms of the benefits expected and brought to partners.
2. Several issues raised have been discussed with partners during the project management meeting, still most of the suggestions for improvements do not come from a joint elaboration. Therefore, the "collective intelligence" side of the process is somewhat lost between the identification of issues and its resolution. One potential fix would be to reinforce the internal dissemination of these suggestions, so as to improve the resolution of issues and spark more easily feedbacks from other partners following the communication.

Reports on the validation's activities M14 (D2.4)

This document, prepared by UNIBO, summarizes the validation activities for M14. The deliverable evaluated is focused on rebalancing the role of SMEs within GrEnFin. It offers amendments to the existing organization so as to better adapt to the pandemic setting with regard to the contribution of SME partners and the dissemination carried.

Relevant KPIs:

PI2.4.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved

10: The issue motivating the deliverable is clearly identified and the solutions put forward appear sound.

Descriptive document of the facilities and services to implement in GrEnFin-Hub Vplatform (D6.2)

This document has been edited by HERA and describes the structure and guidelines for using the digital platform as a support of the professional module. It clarifies the general organisation and terminology of the professional module, then details the modalities of its implementation.

Relevant KPIs:

PI6.2.1 Identification of services and utilities

10: The general organisation of the module is well described, aggregating decisions previously taken with regard to the training offer and expectations for the module participants.

PI6.2.2. Descriptive document on facilities and services submitted

8: The general rules to govern the platform are clearly laid out, although the description of the technical evolution from the current state of the platform does not seem exhaustive and may need further consideration so as to keep its overall complexity reasonable.

PI6.2.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved

10: Where questions emerged, or potential issues identified, the decisions taken are well motivated.



3. Conclusion

The first document evaluated in this batch (D1.3) has been used to further reflect on the activity carried out in the first year of the project. Several challenges have emerged, and solutions or suggestions have been made going forward, to either increase the efficiency of some working packages or better frame the project proposition more generally. A number of these issues are in line with what had been identified and reported in documents previously evaluated, denoting a consistent perception of the project. We also welcome the identification of some new challenges, achieved through the accrued scrutiny of the outputs linked to the one-year mark of GrEnFin's calendar, and the consortium should follow-up on them.

Moreover, useful adjustments are being made, as per deliverable D2.4, and the core training and curriculum design are progressing toward their implementation (D6.2), in line with previous reports. Despite the ongoing difficulties linked to the COVID-19 pandemic, the experience acquired should allow for a strong digital deployment of GrEnFin. Partners hope that this will eventually act as a reliable complement in a context where intra-European mobility has recovered, and more traditional exchanges can bring the project a step further.

Greening Energy Market and Finance



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA



University
of Economics
in Katowice



The information and views set out in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

