



*Erasmus+ KA2 Knowledge Alliances project
“Greening Energy Market and Finance –
GrEnFln”*

AGREEMENT NUMBER: 612408

PROJECT NUMBER: 612408 – EPP-1-2019-1-EPPKA2-KA

**WP10 – D10.1. Intermediate report for the reporting period 1
(M1 - M18)**





Work Package (WP)	WP10 - PROJECT EVALUATION
WP Leader	WU
Deliverable Title and Number	D10.1. Intermediate report for the reporting period 1 (M1 - M18)
Release date	May 2021
Version	Version 1
Dissemination Level	Public
Authors	WU – Régis Gourdel
Revised and Approved by	Prof. Silvia Romagnoli

INDEX

1. Introduction	3
2. Summary of working packages	3
3. Evaluation by WP and KPIs	3
3.1. WP1 - Project management	3
3.2. WP2 - Stakeholders consultation, validation activities and survey	4
3.3. WP3 - Draft curriculum development	5
3.4. WP6 - Analysis and draft of the professional module	6
3.5. WP8 – Business-academia network in energy finance and GrEnFin-Hub V-platform establishment	6
3.6. WP9 – Quality assurance	7
3.7. WP10 – Project evaluation	7
3.8. WP11 – Dissemination and exploitation of results	8
4. Conclusion	9





Intermediate report for the reporting period 1 (M1 - M18)

1. Introduction

In this report, we provide a holistic assessment of the work carried during the first reporting period of the GrEnFin project, building on the quarterly reports produced. Deliverables are grouped by working package (WP), with summary tables of the KPIs given, and discussion of the WP advancement over the period.

For all deliverable, KPIs are given on a 0 to 10 scale. Some initial grades indicated qualitatively have been translated to the quantitative scale. A summary of conclusions completes the report.

2. Summary of working packages

WP	Lead organization (Pn)	Title
1	P(1)	Project management
2	P(1)	Stakeholders consultation, validation activities and survey
3	P(1)	Draft curriculum development
4	P(3) and P(5)	Pilot class of the study plan
5	P(2)	Final curriculum development
6	P(9)	Analysis and draft of the professional module
7	P(5)	Revision path and final professional module description
8	P(10)	Business-academia network in energy finance and GrEnFin-Hub V-platform establishment
9	P(1)	Quality assurance
10	P(4)	Project evaluation
11	P(10)	Dissemination and exploitation of results

Note that not all working packages have taken place within the period of evaluation, hence the absence of some of them hereafter.

3. Evaluation by WP and KPIs

3.1. WP1 - Project management

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D1.2	M3	Data Management Plan	PI1.2.1 Completion of partnership agreement signature	7
			PI.1.2.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	7





D1.1	M6	Project management framework	PI1.1.1 Delivery of document	10
D1.6	M8	Transnational Project meetings	Kick-off meeting	10
			First project meeting	10
			Second project meeting	10
			Steering committee	9
			PI1.3 Number of evaluation's questionnaires submitted	3
D1.8	M8	Summer school and intensive programme	Completion of the report	8
			Satisfaction of students	7
D1.6	M13	Meeting dedicated to dissemination plan	PI1.1 Frequency rate of the meeting	10
			PI1.4 Comments and suggestions	8
D1.6	M14	Fourth virtual project meeting minutes	PI1.1 Frequency rate of the meeting	10
			PI1.2 Overall satisfaction of the partners and comments left	9
			PI1.3 Number of questionnaires submitted	7
			PI 1.4 Comments and suggestions about the completeness of managerial documents	8
D1.3	M15	Annual Internal Interim and Financial report	PI1.3.1 Early quality report drafted	10
			P1.3.2 Financial report drafted	6
			P1.3.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	8

The work on WP1 has been overall satisfying and the documents produced have provided an accurate picture of the project conduct overall. Two limitations that have marked the period are the unsatisfying number of questionnaires submitted in several instances, and the delays due to organisational issues stemming from the pandemic situation.

3.2. WP2 - Stakeholders consultation, validation activities and survey

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D2.2	M4	Definition of the consultation's document structure, details of submission-questionnaires and descriptions of the submission process	PI2.2.1 Document structured	10
			PI2.2.2 Document submitted	10
			PI2.2.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	8
D2.1	M6	Building of the data base for the stakeholders' consultation	PI2.1.1 Stakeholders' database completed	8
			PI2.1.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	7
			PI2.1 Number of surveys collected and analysed	9





			PI2.2 Number of countries covered in the survey	9
			PI2.3 Number of L/SMEs invited to take part in the survey	8
D2.3	M6	Report on the consultation-Survey's Report	PI2.3.1 Report delivered	10
			PI2.3.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	7
D2.4	M11	Reports on the validation activities	PI2.4.1 Report submitted	10
D2.6	M12	Best-practice report on the joint work of academies and enterprises	Delivery	9
			PI2.6.1 Valuation of collaboration academies-enterprises	10
			PI2.6.2 Identification of best practices	6
			PI2.6.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	10
D2.4	M15	Reports on the validation activities	PI2.4.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	10

The reports of WP2 are testimony of a large share of the preparative work undertaken by consortium partners, reflecting their findings and the opinion of outsiders too. We view as satisfying its results thus far, with the identification of a number of challenges or general expectations. Importantly it has identified key questions and best practices that are useful across the whole project.

3.3. WP3 - Draft curriculum development

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D3.0	M6	Report about the current educational offer in near field	PI3.0 Report delivered	9
			PI3.1 Number of university curricula analysed	8
D3.1	M6-8	Preliminary draft of the basic structure of the course and learning outcomes	PI3.1.1 Consortium experience's contribution to draft learning outcome	8
			PI3.1.2 Draft completion	9
			PI3.1.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	8
D3.2 & D3.3	M11	Reports about the testing phase, the criticality of the tested learning and possible solutions	Delivery of the document	10
			PI3.2.1 summer school 1 implemented	9
			PI3.3.1 Basic structure of the learning outcomes reported	7
			PI3.3 Number of students participating in the summer school	9
			PI3.4 Number of positive feedbacks collected from students	6
			Feedback from partners	7



			PI-3.2.4/3.3.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	8
--	--	--	--	---

It emerges from the WP3 reports evaluated that the starting point of the project was not yet very robust at its start with regard to its curriculum, and could have benefited from more details and more discussions in its early steps on its direction. Nonetheless, considerable work has been put into building a consistent draft curriculum, and lesson from the first summer school seem to have been successfully integrated in it.

3.4. WP6 - Analysis and draft of the professional module

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D6.1	M14	Report on the preliminary definition of learning outputs of the professional module		
D6.2	M17	Descriptive document of the facilities and services to implement in GrEnFin-Hub Vplatform	PI6.2.1 Identification of services and utilities	10
			PI6.2.2. Descriptive document on facilities and services submitted	8
			PI6.2.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved	10

While the elaboration of the professional module content is still recent and to be further developed and tested, the work carried in WP6 over the whole period has made significant advances toward its implementation. Care has been given to identify the industry needs that would guide the module development, and a first technical basis has been defined. Moreover, the collaboration between academic and industry partners is key here, while quite new for most in the consortium. Given the pandemic situation on top, the conduct of the project on that front has required special organisational efforts. Thus, the overall advancement seems satisfying.

3.5. WP8 – Business-academia network in energy finance and GrEnFin-Hub V-platform establishment

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D8.1	M11	Descriptive document of the project website	PI81.1 Project website developed	10

The document evaluated as part of WP8 has laid the ground for the development of the GrEnFin online platform. While this single document has provided a good overview, a lot of the work on the development of the platform has not been the object of specific reports or is covered by WP11. The management of the website has been consistent with original intentions and then sufficiently dynamic to accommodate and accompany new needs emerging or the progress on other WPs. Thus, the pace of development has been satisfying overall.

3.6. WP9 – Quality assurance

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D9.0	M6	Quality and evaluation plan	D9.0.1 Delivery of Quality and evaluation plan	9
D9.1	M6	Reports concerning the Internal Quality Assurance Process	PI9.1.2 Report on external monitoring on the project's development completed	9
D9.1	M12	Semi-annual Quality Report M9 – M12	PI9.1 Satisfaction with the quality assurance process by the partners	9
			PI9.3 Number and type of difficulties within the partner institutions and in the collaborative work	8
D9.1	M14	Quality assurance survey, weak points and suggestions M1 – M12	PI 9.1 Satisfaction with the quality assurance process by the partners	10
			PI9.3 Number and type of difficulties within the partner institutions and in the collaborative work	10
			PI9.4 Problems solved, strategies used them and their results	6
			PI9.5 Compliance with the plan and respect of the deadlines	6
			PI9.6 Number of criticalities emerged and possible solutions	10

The results reported by WP9 have been diverse and useful. Moreover, although some possible improvements have been suggested, the process in place is doing well overall at capturing extensively the view within the consortium on the project development and quality.

3.7. WP10 – Project evaluation

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D10.0	M6	Quality and evaluation plan		
D10.1	M6	Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation	PI10.1.1 Report prepared and published	8
D10.2	M6	Evaluation Report of Project Meetings and Summer School	PI10.2.1 Questionnaires prepared for the virtual platform	10
			PI10.2.2 Questionnaires evaluated	10
			PI10.2 Rate of response to questionnaires	3
			PI10.3 Satisfaction reported by the partners	7



D10.2	M11	Report on the Consultation survey on the virtual platform		
D10.2	M12	Report on the Consultation survey on the virtual platform - Fourth Virtual Project Meeting	PI10.1 Satisfaction reported by the partners	7

To date, the WP10 reports have provided useful feedback regarding the work carried in various other parts of the project. Its main issue so far has been on several occasions the low rate of responses to questionnaire, which has limited the extent of the additional insight it could gather.

3.8. WP11 – Dissemination and exploitation of results

Summary table:

Deliverable	Month	Short description / title	KPI	Grade
D11.0	M12	Dissemination plan	PI11.2 Number of dissemination materials developed	9
D11.1	M12	Report on the launch of a new educational model (about the dissemination events of the year)	PI11.2 Number of dissemination materials developed	9
			PI11.3 Number of dissemination activities conducted	8
D11.2	M12	Report on the project website		
D11.3	M12	Report of the dissemination committee		
D11.4	M12	Document on the visibility of the project acquired reputation of the consortium, possible enlargement of the consortium and imitation phenomenon in other sectors	PI11.3 Number of dissemination activities conducted	8
			PI 11.4 Number of non-partner HEIs/L-SMEs showing interest in becoming part of the consortium	9

Activities related to dissemination have been going on from the beginning of the project, even though the dedicated reports and work started at the end of the first year. While there is no measurable scale that could be used to measure its impact, or targets to achieve in that regard, the work provided within the WP appears satisfying. The framework put in place has clarified the dissemination strategy for all partners, with more established channels as well as quantitative objectives.



4. Conclusion

The work performed across the project during the period evaluated has been important, and has put the project more clearly on track as its model and direction were elaborated. The project has suffered from the pandemic situation, and idiosyncratic challenges have at times be reported. Nevertheless, partners have generally successfully adapted to these conditions and pushed forward with the work. Thus, the feedback collected is good, and the current conditions should allow the project to keep on developing a more detailed educational offer.





Greening Energy Market and Finance



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA



University
of Economics
in Katowice



The information and views set out in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

